

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/944,184	09/04/2001	Tetsuo Ozawa	213370US0C0NT	1199	
12000	7590 04/28/2003				
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. EXAMINER					
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			TUCKER, PHILIP C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1712		
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	94418	4	07		
omeones camma,	Examiner	Tuck	-00	Group Art Unit	
	1.	100	EK	1712	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover s	heet b	eneath the co	rrespondence a	address
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE		MONTH(S)	FROM THE MA	ILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defar Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st 	reply within the statuto ult, expire SIX (6) MON	ry minim THS fron	num of thirty (30) on the mailing date	lays will be consider of this communica	ered timely.
Status					
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on					•
☐ This action is FINAL.					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19				the merits is cl	osed in
Disposition of Claims		,			
18 Claim(s) 17 - 47	is/are p	ending in the ap	plication.		
Of the above claim(s)	is/are w	ithdrawn from c	onsideration.		
□ Claim(s)	is/are a	llowed.			
X Claim(s) 17 - 42, 47			is/are re	ejected.	
\square Claim(s) $17 - 42, 47$ \square Claim(s) $43 - 46$	is/are ol	bjected to.			
□ Claim(s)					
Application Papers			requirer	nent.	
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw 	ing Review. PTO-94	18.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	•		☐ disapproved	•	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num 	of the priority docum	ents ha	ave been		·
received in this national stage application from the li		,			
*Certified copies not received:				·	
Attachment(s)	4				
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)6	□lr	nterview Summ	ary, PTO-413	
⊠ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892			lotice of Informa	al Patent Applica	ation, PTO-15
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-	948		Other		
Offi	ce Action Summa	v			

Application/Control Number: 09/944184

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 17, 18, 20, 22, 29 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Champ (3824099).

See compound 7 in column 3.

3. Claims 17, 18, 20, 22, 29 and 32 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Baranyi et al (4524218).

See line 65 of column 3.

4. Claims 17, 20, 24, 27 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Meier et al., Tetrahedron Letters, vol. 37, no. 8, pages 1191-1194, (1996). See compounds 11a-f on page 1192.

Application/Control Number: 09/944184

Art Unit: 1712

- 5. Claims 17, 19, 21 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Ramaiah et al, Photochemistry and Photobiology, vol. 65, no. 5, pages 783-790, (1997).

 See figure 3, and Results and Discussion section.
- 6. Claims 17, 20, 24, 27, 34 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Meier et al., J. Org. Chem, vol. 62, pages 4821-4826, (1997).

Meier teaches squarylium compounds of the present invention, which comprise substituted alkenyl groups corresponding to R (see Scheme 2). Meier teaches the formation of a spin coat of the compound in a silane matrix (page 4822). Applicants intended use as a filter for a plasma display panel does not distinguish over the prior art (In re Pearson 181 USPQ 641).

Double Patenting

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 09/944184 Page 4

Art Unit: 1712

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. Claims 17-42 and 47 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claim of copending Application No. 09/861714 (now allowed). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of 09/861714 differ in teaching an additional dipyrazolylsquarylium compound, the filter device comprises an compound of formula III, which is identical to that of the present invention, and would render the present filkter and compounds obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 09/944184 Page 5

Art Unit: 1712

- 9. Claims 43-46 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Tucker whose telephone number is (703) 308-0529. The examiner's normal working hours are 7:30am-4:00pm, Monday-Friday. If necessary SPE Robert Dawson may be contacted at 703-308-2340. For inquiries of a general nature call the receptionist at 703-308-0651. The group FAX no. is 703-872-9310. The **after final** fax no. Is 703-872-9311.

PCT-2792 April 21, 2003

PHILIP C. TUCKER ART UNIT 1712